



# American Heart Association® Resuscitation Science

[Print this Page for Your Records](#)

[Close Window](#)

**Control/Tracking Number:** 20-RESS-A-62-AHA

**Activity:** Abstract

**Current Date/Time:** 6/2/2020 3:08:37 PM

## **Expediency And Accuracy Of Identifying The "Resuscitation Line" Using A Visual-Tactile Marker In A Critical Care Setting**

**Author Block:** David A Wampler, UT HEALTH SAN ANTONIO, Boerne, TX; Elizabeth Dillard, Ctr Healthcare Innovation Services, Spring Branch, TX; Larry Miller, UT HEALTH SAN ANTONIO, Boerne, TX

### **Abstract:**

Background: Most medications have some type of compatibility conflict with other medications. Emergency medication delivery is time dependent, delays required to identify a saline-only intravenous line may negatively impact patient outcomes. In this study, a tactile/visual IV tubing marker was evaluated for time to medication delivery as compared to standard identification methods. Methods: This was a mixed methods study. Method 1: Prospective randomized crossover study compared the administration of simulated Midazolam IV to a simulated critically ill patient using either the standard tape labeled IV-line or a new visual tactile marker ('GoTo Tag') to identify the correct saline IV through which to give the drug. Recorded time began when the participant entered the room and ended when the medication was delivered into the IV line. Subjects then left, and repeated with the opposite identification methods after a minimum 10-minutes. The normal saline IV line was moved to a different location between the attempts. Method 2: An exit questionnaire was utilized to obtain subjective data and to obtain open-ended comments. Results: Twenty-four participants, (4 physicians, 9 nurses and 11 paramedics) were recruited. The mean time to medication delivery was  $28.3 \pm 15.6$  seconds. The visual tactile marker was statistically faster ( $22 \pm 14$  sec vs  $35 \pm 15$  sec;  $p=0.002$ ). The paramedic subgroup was also faster using the visual tactile marker ( $23 \pm 14$  sec vs  $37 \pm 12$  sec;  $p=0.019$ ). Overall, nurses identified the saline line modestly faster ( $25 \pm 18$ ) than paramedics ( $40 \pm 14$ ) or physicians ( $31 \pm 14$ ) regardless of the identification method. Comments by the participants clearly favored the 'GoTo Tag' over traditional tape labeled markers in terms of ease of resuscitation line identification and the potential to improve patient safety. Conclusion: The GoTo tag reduced the time to medication delivery, and was a preferred method to identify the resuscitation line by critical care providers.

:

**Category (Complete):** 24.127 In-Hospital Arrest ; 24.134 Resuscitation Devices

**Keyword (Complete):** Safety ; Drugs

**Additional Information (Complete):**

**Are you an AHA member?:** Yes

**Disclosure:** There are no unlabeled/unapproved uses of drugs or products.

**Please Select Yes or No:** No

\*: Yes

**This abstract is currently on a pre-print server or based on a manuscript that is on a pre-print server. :** No

**I plan on participating in AHA ReSS:** As an in-person attendee, joining the event in Dallas.

**Presentation Preference (Complete):** All Formats

**Awards (Complete):**

**I would like to be considered for a ReSS Young Investigator Award.:** No

**Payment (Complete):** Your credit card order has been processed on Tuesday 2 June 2020 at 3:05 PM.

**Status:** Complete

**Technical Issues?** Contact the [OASIS Helpdesk](#) or call 217-398-1792.

**Policy Questions?** E-mail: [program.participant@heart.org](mailto:program.participant@heart.org) or Telephone: (214) 706-1453, (214) 706-1866

[American Heart Association](#)

7272 Greenville Avenue

Dallas, Texas 75231

[Feedback](#)

Powered by [COASIS](#), The Online Abstract Submission and Invitation System <sup>SM</sup>

© 1996 - 2020 [CTI Meeting Technology](#). All rights reserved. [Privacy Policy](#)